Seething & Mundham Village Cluster Site Assessment Forms

New, Revised & Amended Sites

December 2022

Contents

SN0405	3
SN5006	15
SN5031	25

SN Village Clusters Housing Allocations Document – Site Assessment Form

Part 1 - Site Details

Detail	Comments
Site Reference	SN0405
Site address	Land to North and South of Brooke Road, Seething
Current planning status (including previous planning policy status)	Unallocated
Planning History	The 'Cart Shed' site: L/5630 Two dwellings for farm workers. Approved 1978/0535 Two Dwellings Together with Garages and Stores for Farm Workers. Approved 1985/2380 Conversion of Redundant Cart Shed and Store to A Single Dwelling for Private Use. Refused 2004/2367 Proposed conversion of barn to single dwelling. Approved
Site size, hectares (as promoted)	1.252ha (total of 3 sites) Site North of Brooke Road 0.772ha – 19 dwellings The site 'The Cart Shed' – 7 dwellings The site between Seething and Mundham School and Church Farmhouse will provide additional car parking for the school
Promoted Site Use, including (a) Allocated site (b) SL extension	Allocation
Promoted Site Density (if known – otherwise assume 25 dwellings/ha)	Residential development of approximately 26 dwellings (as well as additional car parking for the adjoining school)
Greenfield/ Brownfield	Greenfield and part of the barn conversions garden

Part 2 - Absolute Constraints

ABSOLUTE ON-SITE CONSTRAINTS (if 'yes' to any of the below, the site will be excluded from further assessment)

Is the site located in, or does the site include:	Response
SPA, SAC, SSSI, Ramsar	No
National Nature Reserve	No

Is the site located in, or does the site include:	Response
Ancient Woodland	No
Flood Risk Zone 3b	No
Scheduled Ancient Monument	No
Locally Designated Green Space	No

Part 3 - Suitability Assessment

HELAA Score:

The RED/ AMBER/ GREEN score in the HELAA Score column below is based upon the assessment criteria set out in Appendix A of the 'Norfolk Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (July 2016)' methodology.

Site Score:

Where a HELAA Assessment has indicated either a RED or AMBER score, has the promoter of the site submitted any supporting evidence to indicate that the issues can be overcome (e.g., a Flood Risk Assessment, Contaminated Land Survey, Ecological Survey)? If yes, and if appropriate, note any changes to the HELAA score in the Site Score column. Additional criteria have been included under 'Accessibility to local services and facilities' and 'Landscape', which need to be reflected in the Site

Score.

(Please note boxes filled with grey should not be completed)

SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT

Constraint	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Access to the site	Amber	Potential access constraints existing hedge/trees to site frontage. Potential access could be formed to the land to the north, subject to a frontage footway. Adoptable access unlikely to the achieved to the south area. The road bends and has the school access and other junctions in close proximity	Amber
		NCC Highways – Amber, access could be formed to the area north of Brooke Road subject to frontage footway. Adoptable standard access unlikely to be achievable to the area south of Brooke Road. Does not appear feasible to provide footway to nearby school.	
		NCC Highways Meeting - very tight to get a footway on the School Road/Brooke Road junction (particularly with the pond on the corner). School access is currently arranged to separate vehicles and pedestrians, with vehicle access from Brooke Road and pedestrians	

Constraint	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
		from School Road. Likely to need a discussion with both the site promoter and the school about enhanced access arrangements and car parking, maybe accessing the school at the western end. Layout of the road means that speed limit compliance is likely to be good in this location, and a part-time 20mph outside the school may be beneficial.	
Accessibility to local services and facilities Part 1: Primary School Secondary school Local healthcare services Retail services Local employment opportunities Peak-time public transport	Amber	Village Shop 575m Bus stop within 594m and is on the bus route for Anglian 86 Primary School is within 122m No footpaths	
Part 2: Part 1 facilities, plus Village/ community hall Public house/ café Preschool facilities Formal sports/ recreation facilities		Village Hall 604m Recreational ground/play area next to village hall	Green
Utilities Capacity	Amber	Wastewater infrastructure capacity should be confirmed	Amber
Utilities Infrastructure	Green	Promoter advises water, sewage, gas and electricity available to site.	Green
Better Broadband for Norfolk		The site is within an area already served by fibre technology	Green
Identified ORSTED Cable Route		Site is unaffected by the identified ORSTED cable route or substation location	Green

Constraint	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Contamination & ground stability	Green	The site is unlikely to be contaminated as an agricultural field and no known ground stability issues.	Green
Flood Risk	Amber	Flood zone 1 with surface water flooding depth of 1-1000 in the road and around the pond	Amber

Impact	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
SN Landscape Type (Land Use Consultants 2001)	N/A	Tributary Farmland	N/A
SN Landscape Character Area (Land Use Consultants 2001)		B5 Chet Tributary Farmland	
Overall Landscape Assessment	Green	Development would have a detrimental impact on landscape which may be reasonably mitigated. Landscape Meeting - Particular concerns about the infilling of the 'Old Park' site as this would represent significant infill which could have a townscape impact. Consider this to be a difficult site however further consideration of this site is required.	Amber
Townscape	Amber	The sites are located in a distinctly rural part of the District on the edge of Seething. Existing buildings in the wider context are of mixed architectural character incorporating a range of materials and styles, with village ponds also a feature. The grain in Seething is generally quite spacious especially the more peripheral areas and vegetation remains quite dominant along the streets, and relatively few buildings are located close to the back of the street except more toward the centre, but even	Amber

Impact	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Biodiversity	Amber	there hedgerows are a key feature. The cart shed site is located within/adjacent to a farm complex and the land to the north of the is located adjacent to a linear form of development to the east and an estate to the west. The development would have a detrimental impact on townscape which could be reasonably mitigated. The density proposed is high given the character/context of the site. The land north of Brooke Road is adjacent to the development boundary to the southeast. Development may impact on	Amber
& Geodiversity		protected species, but impact could be reasonably mitigated.	
Historic Environment	Green	Development could have detrimental impact on setting of nearby LB. St Margaret's Church is located to the east of School lane. Separated from the two housing sites by intervening land uses. Seething Old hall and Church Monument are located to the northwest of the 'land to north of Brooke Road' with the Seething Old Hall Park development between. The Cart Shed site is located within the Seething Conservation Area. The 'land to north of Brooke Road' is partly within and as is small part of the land proposed for parking. HES - Amber	Amber
Open Space	Green	Development of the site would not result in the loss of any open space	Green
Transport and Roads	Amber	Potential impact on functioning of road network which may not be reasonably mitigated. Narrow carriage way and no footway NCC Highways – Red, access could be	Red Amber
		formed to the area north of Brooke	

Impact	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
		Road subject to frontage footway. Adoptable standard access unlikely to be achievable to the area south of Brooke Road. Does not appear feasible to provide footway to nearby school.	
		NCC Highways Meeting - very tight to get a footway on the School Road/Brooke Road junction (particularly with the pond on the corner). School access is currently arranged to separate vehicles and pedestrians, with vehicle access from Brooke Road and pedestrians from School Road. Likely to need a discussion with both the site promoter and the school about enhanced access arrangements and car parking, maybe accessing the school at the western end. Layout of the road means that speed limit compliance is likely to be good in this location, and a part-time 20mph outside the school may be beneficial.	
Neighbouring Land Uses	Green	Agricultural/residential and Seething and Mundham Primary School	Green

Part 4 - Site Visit

Site Visit Observations	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Impact on Historic Environment and townscape?	Technical officer to assess impact on setting of LB's. The development would have a detrimental impact on townscape which could be reasonably mitigated. The density proposed is high given the character/context of the site. The land north of Brooke Road is adjacent to the development boundary to the southeast.	N/A
Is safe access achievable into the site? Any additional highways observations?	Potential access constraints existing hedge/trees to site frontage. Potential access could be formed to the land to the north, subject to a frontage footway. Adoptable access unlikely to the achieved to the south area. The road bends and has the school access and other junctions in close proximity.	N/A
Existing land use? (including potential redevelopment/demolition issues)	Part of the Cart Shed site is domestic curtilage to the barn conversion granted consent in 2004. Agricultural grade 3	N/A
What are the neighbouring land uses and are these compatible? (impact of development of the site and on the site)	Agricultural/residential and Seething and Mundham Primary School	N/A
What is the topography of the site? (e.g. any significant changes in levels)	Flat	N/A
What are the site boundaries? (e.g. trees, hedgerows, existing development)	Trees/hedgerows. Residential.	N/A
Landscaping and Ecology – are there any significant trees/ hedgerows/ ditches/ ponds etc on or adjacent to the site?	Possibly significant trees. As land to north of Brooke Road is agricultural field significance of the hedgerows should be assessed under hedgerow regulations. Potential impacts on Bats, Owls etc.	N/A

Site Visit Observations	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
	which could be reasonably mitigated.	
Utilities and Contaminated Land – is there any evidence of existing infrastructure or contamination on / adjacent to the site? (e.g., pipelines, telegraph poles)	None	N/A
Description of the views (a) into the site and (b) out of the site and including impact on the landscape	Sites are visible from the road network, The Cart Shed is clearly viewed across the open landscape. The land to the north is better screened. Public footpath runs east west to the south of Church Farmhouse from in front of the school	N/A
Initial site visit conclusion (NB: this is an initial observation only for informing the overall assessment of a site and does not determine that a site is suitable for development)	Land to north is adjacent the existing development boundary and well related to services. It would represent a breakout to the north of the village. However, given that the site is adjacent to the built environment, whilst there will be a harm it may reasonably mitigated. Views of the sites are afforded from both the surrounding road network and the and public footpath. Therefore, the landscape harm may be more difficult to mitigate.	Amber

Part 5 - Local Plan Designations

Local Plan Designations, including those in Neighbourhood Plans, should be noted in the table below (excluding Open Countryside which will apply to all sites promoted outside the Development Limits).

Local Plan Designations (UNIFORM)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Open Countryside		N/A
		N/A
		N/A
Conclusion	Does not conflict with existing or proposed land use designations	Green

Part 6 - Availability and Achievability

AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT (in liaison with landowners)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Is the site in private/ public ownership?	Private	N/A
Is the site currently being marketed? (Additional information to be included as appropriate)	No	N/A
When might the site be available for development?	Within 5 years	Green
Comments:		

ACHIEVABILITY (in liaison with landowners, and including viability)	Comments	Site Score (R/A/G)
Evidence submitted to support site deliverability? (Yes/ No) (Additional information to be included as appropriate)	Statement from promoter advising same	Green
Are on-site/ off-site improvements likely to be required if the site is allocated? (e.g., physical, community, GI)	Likely off-site highway improvements. NCC to confirm	Amber
Has the site promoter confirmed that the delivery of the required affordable housing contribution is viable?	Statement from promoter advising same	Green
Are there any associated public benefits proposed as part of delivery of the site?	Yes - the provision of a car park for the school	

Part 7 - Conclusion

Suitability

The land to the north of Brooke Road is considered suitable subject to mitigation of constraints and confirmation from NCC Highways that the site is acceptable in highway terms and the heritage officer that the development would not harm the heritage assets, in particular views of the Church and listed building and monument.

Site Visit Observations

Land to north is adjacent the existing development boundary and well related to services. It would represent a breakout to the north of the village. However, given that the site is adjacent to the built environment, whilst there will be a harm it may reasonably mitigated. Views of the sites are afforded from both the surrounding road network and the and public footpath. Therefore, the landscape harm may be more difficult to mitigate.

Local Plan Designations

Within open countryside and adjacent to development boundary in part.

Availability

Promoter has advised availability within 5 years

Achievability

No additional constraints identified

OVERALL CONCLUSION:

Three parcels of land have been promoted in this location. Of these two sites are preferred for allocation:

- (1) The land to the north of Brooke Road is considered reasonable subject to mitigation of the constraints particularly the highway impacts, impacts on the existing hedgerow/trees, landscape considerations and heritage terms; and,
- (2) Discussion needs to be undertaken with the school as to whether land between the school and the Church Farm buildings could provide (a) additional car-parking and/or (b) an alternative pedestrian access to the school.

The third parcel of land, 'the cart shed', immediately north of Church Farmhouse is not considered suitable for allocation as this forms part of the setting of a notable non-designated heritage asset within the Conservation Area, contributing significantly to this rural approach to the village.

Preferred Site:

Reasonable Alternative:

Rejected: Yes

Date Completed: 4 December 2020 Date Updated: 11 May 2022

Officer: Kate Fisher

SN Village Clusters Housing Allocations Document – Site Assessment Form

Part 1 - Site Details

Detail	Comments
Site Reference	SN5006
Site address	Land south of The Fen, Seething
Current planning status (including previous planning policy status)	Outside development boundary
Planning History	No recent history. Promoter refers to an historic approval for a dwelling in 1973 ref: L\5283
Site size, hectares (as promoted)	3.3
Promoted Site Use, including (c) Allocated site (d) SL extension	Allocated site
Promoted Site Density (if known – otherwise assume 25 dwellings/ha)	50 82 at 25dph
Greenfield/ Brownfield	Greenfield

Part 2 - Absolute Constraints

ABSOLUTE ON-SITE CONSTRAINTS (if 'yes' to any of the below, the site will be excluded from further assessment)

Is the site located in, or does the site include:	Response
SPA, SAC, SSSI, Ramsar	No
National Nature Reserve	No
Ancient Woodland	No
Flood Risk Zone 3b	No
Scheduled Ancient Monument	No
Locally Designated Green Space	No

Part 3 - Suitability Assessment

HELAA Score:

The RED/ AMBER/ GREEN score in the HELAA Score column below is based upon the assessment criteria set out in Appendix A of the 'Norfolk Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (July 2016)' methodology.

Site Score:

Where a HELAA Assessment has indicated either a RED or AMBER score, has the promoter of the site submitted any supporting evidence to indicate that the issues can be overcome (e.g., a Flood Risk Assessment, Contaminated Land Survey, Ecological Survey)? If yes, and if appropriate, note any changes to the HELAA score in the Site Score column. Additional criteria have been included under 'Accessibility to local services and facilities' and 'Landscape', which need to be reflected in the Site

Score.

(Please note boxes filled with grey should not be completed)

SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT

Constraint	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Access to the site	Amber	Currently from a private road off Mill Lane. HA would need to consider if could achieve an adequate access off Mill Lane. NCC Highways – Amber. Access would require carriageway widening, and significant hedge removal, along with frontage footway	Amber
Accessibility to local services and facilities Part 1: Primary School Secondary school Local healthcare services Retail services Local employment opportunities Peak-time public transport	Red	Village Shop/Post Office 1.5km 600m to the bus stops on Mill Lane; bus route for Anglian 86. Primary School is 1.2km No footpaths to any services.	N/A

Constraint	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Part 2: Part 1 facilities, plus Village/ community hall Public house/ café Preschool facilities Formal sports/ recreation facilities	N/A	Village Hall with recreation ground/play area 1.45km	Green
Utilities Capacity	Amber	No known constraints Environment Agency: Green	Amber
Utilities Infrastructure	Amber	Promoter advises these are available.	Amber
Better Broadband for Norfolk	N/A	Under consideration for further upgrades.	Amber
Identified ORSTED Cable Route	N/A	Not within identified cable route or substation location.	Green
Contamination & ground stability	Amber	Promoter states has had buildings and has been used – would require investigation. Minerals & Waste: Safeguarding area (sand and gravel). site over 1ha which is underlain or partially underlain by safeguarded sand and gravel resources. If this site were to go forward as an allocation then a requirement for future development to comply with the minerals and waste safeguarding policy in the Norfolk Minerals and Waste Local Plan, should be included within any allocation policy.	Amber
Flood Risk	Green	Flood Zone 1 Surface water flood risk very low - adjacent to north-east around buildings. LLFA – Green. Few or no constraints. Standard information required at	Green

Constraint	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
		planning stage.	
		Environment Agency: Green	

Impact	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
SN Landscape Type (Land Use Consultants 2001)	N/A	Tributary Farmland	N/A
SN Landscape Character Area (Land Use Consultants 2001)	N/A	B5 Chet Tributary Farmland Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	N/A
Overall Landscape Assessment	Green	The site is well contained within its boundaries and because of the high roadside hedges/trees and the narrow Lane on the east side it does not encroach into views of the open countryside. However, these may need to be removed to create a suitable access.	Green
Townscape	Red	The site is located in a distinctly rural part of the District away from the village of Seething. The existing small group of dwellings here are low density with significant vegetation surrounding them, accessed off a track and with a very rural character.	Red
		It would not be in keeping to add any number of dwellings in this remote location, but particularly in the quantity promoted. Nor would it be appropriate to extend the development boundary along Mill Lane to incorporate this outlying area.	
Biodiversity & Geodiversity	Amber	No designations. Close to substantial wooded areas to west and hedges surrounding which would have potential for habitat, would need investigation.	Amber

Impact	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
		NCC Ecologist: Amber. In GI Corridor and amber risk zone for great crested newts. Ponds within 250m. Norfolk Wildlife Trust: Note that this site may be supporting species-rich grassland and this is possibly Priority Habitat. If site is to be taken forward this requires further investigation. Recommend ecological surveys for this site.	
Historic Environment	Green	No designations. Not close to any listed buildings. HES - Amber	Green
Open Space	Green	No	Green
Transport and Roads	Red	Potential impact on functioning of road network which may not be reasonably mitigated. Very narrow carriage way and no footway. NCC Highways – Red. Network - site remote form village with no feasibility of providing acceptable width road and footway	Red
Neighbouring Land Uses	Green	Residential and agriculture, no conflict.	Green

Part 4 - Site Visit

Site Visit Observations	Comments (Based on Google Street View images dated May 2011)	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Impact on Historic Environment and townscape?	No heritage assets affected. Remote from the main developed area of village and separated from the closest development boundary at the council houses by countryside and vegetation. Not an appropriate location for new development.	N/A
Is safe access achievable into the site? Any additional highways observations?	Existing access is off an unadopted private track so would need to be upgraded. Unlikely that it would be acceptable onto Mill Lane as it is single lane and narrow.	N/A
Existing land use? (including potential redevelopment/demolition issues)	Promoter states this is garden land.	N/A
What are the neighbouring land uses and are these compatible? (impact of development of the site and on the site)	Dwellings along track to north and west. Agriculture to north and south, compatible uses.	N/A
What is the topography of the site? (e.g. any significant changes in levels)	Level	N/A
What are the site boundaries? (e.g. trees, hedgerows, existing development)	Hedges surrounding, woodland belt to west. Large dwelling to north.	N/A
Landscaping and Ecology – are there any significant trees/ hedgerows/ ditches/ ponds etc on or adjacent to the site?	Yes, see above – potential for habitats and species – eg bats.	N/A
Utilities and Contaminated Land – is there any evidence of existing infrastructure or contamination on / adjacent to the site? (e.g., pipelines, telegraph poles)	None evident, some buildings on/adjacent to site.	N/A
Description of the views (a) into the site and (b) out of the site and including impact on the landscape	Very limited due to presence of vegetation and high hedges.	N/A

Site Visit Observations	Comments (Based on Google Street View images dated May 2011)	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Initial site visit conclusion (NB: this is an initial observation only for informing the overall assessment of a site and does not determine that a site is suitable for development)	The site is well contained and does not encroach into the countryside. But it is remote from village services due to lack of footpath and route along Mill Road which is very narrow with limited pedestrian visibility at this point. Also concerns about creating an adequate new access on to Mill Road and the impact on the local network.	Red

Part 5 - Local Plan Designations

Local Plan Designations, including those in Neighbourhood Plans, should be noted in the table below (excluding Open Countryside which will apply to all sites promoted outside the Development Limits).

Local Plan Designations (UNIFORM)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
None		N/A
		N/A
		N/A
Conclusion	Development of the site does not conflict with any existing or proposed land use designations.	Green

Part 6 - Availability and Achievability

AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT (in liaison with landowners)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Is the site in private/ public ownership?	Private	N/A
Is the site currently being marketed? (Additional information to be included as appropriate)	No	N/A
When might the site be available for development? (Tick as appropriate) Immediately Within 5 years 5 – 10 years 10 – 15 years 15-20 years	Within 5 years	Green
Comments:		N/A

ACHIEVABILITY (in liaison with landowners, and including viability)	Comments	Site Score (R/A/G)
Evidence submitted to support site deliverability? (Yes/ No) (Additional information to be included as appropriate)	No	Red
Are on-site/ off-site improvements likely to be required if the site is allocated? (e.g., physical, community, GI)	Yes, improved access.	Amber
Has the site promoter confirmed that the delivery of the required affordable housing contribution is viable?	Indicated it would be provided	Amber
Are there any associated public benefits proposed as part of delivery of the site?	No	N/A

Part 7 - Conclusion

Suitability

The site itself has some constraints being in an amber zone for the potential for Great Crested Newts and within a wider GI corridor. The site is clearly detached from the main areas of Seething village and would form a large, isolated group of dwellings in an otherwise very rural location, out of keeping with the character of the area. Whilst the site is well contained at present, and access would be likely to open up the frontage and make development much more visible. In any event, the site is over 1km from the services/facilities in Seething, along narrow, unlit roads, with no footways and limited verges, as such the opportunities for walking to services/facilities are severely limited.

Site Visit Observations

The site is well contained and does not encroach into the countryside. But it is remote from village services due to lack of footpath and route along Mill Road which is very narrow with limited pedestrian visibility at this point. Also concerns about creating an adequate new access on to Mill Road and the impact on the local network.

Local Plan Designations

Open countryside, but otherwise no conflicts.

Availability

The site promoter has indicated the site would be available within 5 years.

Achievability

The site promoter has indicated the site would be deliverable, but has not provided any supporting evidence.

OVERALL CONCLUSION:

Rejected – the site is clearly detached from the main parts of Seething village and would create a large, isolated group of dwellings in the countryside, out of keeping with the locality. Whilst the site is currently visually contained, creating a suitable access would open up the road frontage, increasing the negative impacts of developing the site. The site is more than 1km from the local services/facilities, which are accessed on along narrow, unlit rural roads with no footways and limited verges. There would also be a loss of established vegetation in a wider GI corridor, and the site is rated amber for the potential for Great Crested Newts.

Preferred Site:

Reasonable Alternative:

Rejected: Yes

Date Completed: 04/05/2022

SN Village Clusters Housing Allocations Document – Site Assessment Form

Part 1 - Site Details

Detail	Comments
Site Reference	SN5031
Site address	Land at Brooke Road and Seething Road, Seething
Current planning status (including previous planning policy status)	Outside development boundary
Planning History	None
Site size, hectares (as promoted)	1.75
Promoted Site Use, including (e) Allocated site (f) SL extension	Allocated site
Promoted Site Density (if known – otherwise assume 25 dwellings/ha)	6 single storey passivhus with open space and public parking 44 at 25dph
Greenfield/ Brownfield	Greenfield

Part 2 - Absolute Constraints

ABSOLUTE ON-SITE CONSTRAINTS (if 'yes' to any of the below, the site will be excluded from further assessment)

Is the site located in, or does the site include:	Response
SPA, SAC, SSSI, Ramsar	No
National Nature Reserve	No
Ancient Woodland	No
Flood Risk Zone 3b	No
Scheduled Ancient Monument	No
Locally Designated Green Space	No

Part 3 - Suitability Assessment

HELAA Score:

The RED/ AMBER/ GREEN score in the HELAA Score column below is based upon the assessment criteria set out in Appendix A of the 'Norfolk Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (July 2016)' methodology.

Site Score:

Where a HELAA Assessment has indicated either a RED or AMBER score, has the promoter of the site submitted any supporting evidence to indicate that the issues can be overcome (e.g., a Flood Risk Assessment, Contaminated Land Survey, Ecological Survey)? If yes, and if appropriate, note any changes to the HELAA score in the Site Score column. Additional criteria have been included under 'Accessibility to local services and facilities' and 'Landscape', which need to be reflected in the Site

Score.

(Please note boxes filled with grey should not be completed)

SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT

Constraint	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Access to the site	Amber	No existing vehicular access. Would need to be created – possibly from Seething Street which would require removal of hedge.	Amber
		Public footpath runs east-west through the site.	
		NCC Highways – Amber. Subject to satisfactory access. Highway improvement required including to carriageway widening, road alignment/forward visibility, footway improvements at whole site frontage. Hedge removal likely to be required.	
Accessibility to local services and facilities	Amber	Village Shop 400m, not continuous path but road has verge for pedestrians.	N/A
Part 1: o Primary School o Secondary school o Local healthcare		Bus stop within 150-300m to north, depending on site access and is on the bus route for Anglian 86.	
services o Retail services		Primary School is within 180m with a footpath, a path would be required to link to a new site access.	

Constraint	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Local employment opportunitiesPeak-time public transport			
Part 2: Part 1 facilities, plus Village/ community hall Public house/ café Preschool facilities Formal sports/ recreation facilities	N/A	Village Hall with recreational ground/play area - 330m	Green
Utilities Capacity	Amber	No known constraints. Environment Agency: Green	Amber
Utilities Infrastructure	Amber	Promoter advises; Gas not required. Mains and Foul water can be dealt with on site if required. Adjacent properties have electricity supplies.	Amber
Better Broadband for Norfolk	N/A	Available to some or all properties and no further upgrade planned via BBfN.	Green
Identified ORSTED Cable Route	N/A	Not within identified cable route or substation location.	Green
Contamination & ground stability	Green	None evident, agricultural field so unlikely.	Green
Flood Risk	Green	Flood Zone 1 Surface Water Flood Risk; very small area of low risk to south-east corner where there is a pond on adjacent land, also opposite.	Green
		LLFA – Green. Few or no constraints, on-site flood risk is localised ponding. Standard information required at planning stage.	
		Environment Agency: Green	

Impact	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
SN Landscape Type (Land Use Consultants 2001)	N/A	Tributary Farmland	N/A
SN Landscape Character Area (Land Use Consultants 2001)	N/A	B5 Chet Tributary Farmland Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	N/A
Overall Landscape Assessment	Green	This is an exposed site and despite the hedgerows on the roadside it would be very visible in an area of the village which has remained undeveloped and part of the countryside landscape. The site is at a higher point where the landscape undulates and there are long views from the Grade II* Listed church to the east which would be interrupted if it were developed. Similarly views back to the church from the east would be undermined. In addition a new access would require substantial removal of the hedge which is part of the character of the landscape and the Conservation Area.	Red
Townscape	Red	The site is located in a distinctly rural part of the District on the edge of Seething and opposite the Gade II* Listed church. Existing buildings in the wider context are of mixed architectural character, with village ponds also a feature. The grain in Seething is generally quite spacious especially the more peripheral areas and vegetation remains dominant along the road here. The site is adjacent to the development boundary on two corners and would link two	Red

Impact	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
		separate parts of the development boundary at Mill Lane and Seething Street. The development would have a detrimental impact on the character of the townscape which could not be reasonably mitigated.	
Biodiversity & Geodiversity	Green	No designations within the site. On opposite side of road is a row of TPOs, there are substantial hedges along the boundaries and to the south and west are ponds off site. These all have potential habitat which would need investigation. NCC Ecologist: Amber. PROW Seething FP68 runs through the site - PROW should be consulted. SSSI IRZ - if any discharge of water or liquid waste of more than 20m³/day to ground (ie to seep away) or to surface water, such as a beck or stream Natural England should be consulted. No priority habitat onsite. Amber risk zone for great crested newt. Not in GI corridor.	Amber
Historic Environment	Amber	Development would have a detrimental impact on the identified heritage assets. Most significantly, the setting of St Margaret's Church opposite, a Grade II* LB. It currently has open views towards it from the east which would be compromised by development on this corner even if it is single storey as it would be visible above the hedge line. It is also adjacent to the conservation area, to north-east, south and west, and would have a harmful effect in terms of its rural setting and the clear separation and spatial rural character between the original settlement to the west and the new buildings on Mill Lane. Site of Archaeological Interest within the centre of most of the site as well	Red

Impact	HELAA Score (R/ A/ G)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
		as adjacent to south-east and southwest. The likely historic location of the Old Hall has been identified adjacent to the south. Would need investigation. HES – Amber. Adjacent to earthworks of medieval hall. Some minor earthworks on site. Will require investigation to determine if would be affected of if either 'preservation by record' or a change to the development layout enabling 'preservation in situ' would be most appropriate.	
Open Space	Green	No	Green
Transport and Roads	Amber	Potential impact on functioning of road network which may not be reasonably mitigated. Narrow carriage way and limited footway connections. In Seething Aerodrome Zone. NCC Highways – Amber. Subject to satisfactory access. Highway improvement required including to carriageway widening, road alignment/forward visibility, footway improvements at whole site frontage. Hedge removal likely to be required.	Amber
Neighbouring Land Uses	Green	Agriculture to east, south. Church to west, some housing to north-east. Compatible uses.	Green

Part 4 - Site Visit

Site Visit Observations	Comments (Based on Google Street View images dated July 2009 & August 2011)	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Impact on Historic Environment and townscape?	There would be a significant impact as it is directly opposite the listed church which is currently seen within a wider green setting with the allotments and green area to the south. There is no other development on this corner, and it would encroach into the undeveloped countryside.	N/A
Is safe access achievable into the site? Any additional highways observations?	There is no existing access. Likely that the preferred option would be from Seething Street as it is more integral to the village and slower traffic.	N/A
Existing land use? (including potential redevelopment/demolition issues)	Agriculture.	N/A
What are the neighbouring land uses and are these compatible? (impact of development of the site and on the site)	Agriculture with some residential close by and the church, compatible uses.	N/A
What is the topography of the site? (e.g. any significant changes in levels)	Level with a slight fall away in the landscape to the east, travelling along Loddon Road. This site is at a higher point in the landscape, along with the church.	N/A
What are the site boundaries? (e.g. trees, hedgerows, existing development)	Hedges along the road frontages.	N/A
Landscaping and Ecology – are there any significant trees/ hedgerows/ ditches/ ponds etc on or adjacent to the site?	Agriculture so relatively monoculture although potential habitat in hedges and on adjacent land, nearby pond.	N/A
Utilities and Contaminated Land – is there any evidence of existing infrastructure or contamination on / adjacent to the site? (e.g., pipelines, telegraph poles)	None evident, no buildings present. Telegraph lines to north.	N/A

Site Visit Observations	Comments (Based on Google Street View images dated July 2009 & August 2011)	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Description of the views (a) into the site and (b) out of the site and including impact on the landscape	Close views into the site are limited by the hedges surrounding it. However, any dwellings would be visible above this, and views would not be mitigated by other buildings but rather the development would be seen against the open landscape. Views out would also be wide, particularly to the east.	N/A
Initial site visit conclusion (NB: this is an initial observation only for informing the overall assessment of a site and does not determine that a site is suitable for development)	Whilst it is relatively close to village facilities, this is an open area of countryside and is on the very edge of the settlement where nearby development is sporadic and where the site would not relate well to that development.	Red
	It is close to the historic elements of the village which define the character here and a new residential area would not be a sympathetic addition in this location.	

Part 5 - Local Plan Designations

Local Plan Designations, including those in Neighbourhood Plans, should be noted in the table below (excluding Open Countryside which will apply to all sites promoted outside the Development Limits).

Local Plan Designations (UNIFORM)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Adjacent Conservation Area		N/A
		N/A
		N/A
Conclusion	Harmful impact to the setting of the Conservation Area	Red

Part 6 - Availability and Achievability

AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT (in liaison with landowners)	Comments	Site Score (R/ A/ G)
Is the site in private/ public ownership?	Private	N/A
Is the site currently being marketed? (Additional information to be included as appropriate)	No – but enquiries received.	N/A
When might the site be available for development? (Tick as appropriate) Immediately Within 5 years 5 – 10 years 10 – 15 years 15-20 years	Immediately	Green
Comments:		N/A

ACHIEVABILITY (in liaison with landowners, and including viability)	Comments	Site Score (R/A/G)
Evidence submitted to support site deliverability? (Yes/ No) (Additional information to be included as appropriate)	Indicated that it is but no evidence submitted.	Amber
Are on-site/ off-site improvements likely to be required if the site is allocated? (e.g., physical, community, GI)	Yes, a new access, possible footpath improvements and links. Suggesting re-routing of FP8.	Amber
Has the site promoter confirmed that the delivery of the required affordable housing contribution is viable?	No, indicated that it will be provided but promoting 6 passivhaus.	Red
Are there any associated public benefits proposed as part of delivery of the site?	Open space, public car parking on site to alleviate congestion associated with the school.	N/A

Part 7 - Conclusion

Suitability

The site is within a short distance of the local services and facilities. There are few on-site constraints, although a PRoW runs through the site and amber risk for Great Crested Newts has been identified. The site sits between two parts of Seething, the main historic core of the village around Seething Road and more modern housing on Mill Road. The main concern with the site that it clearly falls within the rural setting of the adjacent and Grade II* Listed Church, and of the Conservation Area to the south, and would have a detrimental impact on both. The impact is likely to be exacerbated by the need to create a suitable access for the site, with likely hedge removal, wider footways and carriageway realignment.

Site Visit Observations

Whilst it is relatively close to village facilities, this is an open area of countryside and is on the very edge of the settlement where nearby development is sporadic and where the site would not relate well to that development.

It is close to the historic elements of the village which define the character here and a new residential area would not be a sympathetic addition in this location.

Local Plan Designations

Open Countryside, adjacent to, and in conflict with the Conservation Area.

Availability

The site promoter has indicated the site would be available immediately.

Achievability

The site promoter has indicated that the site would be deliverable for 6 passivhaus dwellings, but no supporting evidence has been submitted.

OVERALL CONCLUSION:

Rejected – the site is close to local services and facilities. However, the site would erode a clear open gap between the historic core of the village on Seething Road and more modern housing on Mill Road; this gap provides part of the rural setting of both the Grade II* listed church and the Conservation Area. The impacts of development would be exacerbated by the need to provide suitable access, with consequent hedge removal, footway widening and possible carriageway realignment adding to the urbanising effect. A PRoW crosses the site, and it has been identifies as an amber risk for Great Crested Newts.

Preferred Site:

Reasonable Alternative:

Rejected: Yes

Date Completed: 04/05/2022